

Some Baumslag-Solitar groups are two bridges virtual knots

J. G. Rodríguez

O. P. Salazar-Díaz

J. J. Mira *

November 12, 2015

Abstract

In this paper we give necessary conditions on group presentations, with two generators and one relator, in order to be the group of a virtual knot diagram. Although those conditions are not enough, we use them to determine, completely, whether or not a Baumslag-Solitar group is the group of a 2-bridge virtual knot. Moreover, we present a combinatorial proof of the fact that these groups are not 2-bridge classical knot groups.

1 Introduction

The group of a virtual knot was introduced by Kauffman in [9] as a generalization of the Wirtinger presentation of the fundamental group of a classical knot complement. An important question in such category is to determine when a group G is the group of a virtual knot diagram. Several conditions have been given on group presentations, see [10], [17] and [20], but all of them depend on whether we can or can not get a cyclic Wirtinger presentation of deficiency 0 or 1, for G .

*Escuela de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional de Colombia Medellín, Colombia jjmira@unal.edu.co, jgrodri@unal.edu.co, opsalazard@unal.edu.co

A *Wirtinger presentation* of G is a presentation of the form

$$G(p, w_1, \dots, w_q) := \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p \mid r_1, r_2, \dots, r_q \rangle,$$

where each $r_k := w_k x_j w_k^{-1} x_i^{-1}$, for some $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ and $w_1, \dots, w_q$ are words in the free group $F(x_1, \dots, x_p)$, not necessarily different in G .

In particular, a Wirtinger presentation is called *cyclic* if we have that $r_i = w_i x_i w_i^{-1} x_{i+1}^{-1}$, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$. The *deficiency* of a presentation $\langle x_1, \dots, x_p \mid r_1, \dots, r_q \rangle$ is defined as $|p - q|$. More generally the *deficiency* of a group G , denoted by $d(G)$, is the maximum among the deficiency of its presentations.

In [17] Rodríguez and Toro proved that the group of a n bridge virtual knot, has a cyclic Wirtinger presentation of deficiency 0 or 1. In this paper we will provide an algorithm to construct, from a cyclic Wirtinger presentation of deficiency 0 or 1, a virtual knot diagram. Such algorithm uses the definition of combinatorial knots, see [21].

Baumslag-Solitar groups constitute an important family of counterexamples in combinatorial group theory, see [2], [12], [6] and [5]. They were first introduced by Baumslag and Solitar in [2], in order to get no-Hopfian one-relator group presentations. Furthermore, they are given by the following general presentation form

$$BS(m, n) = \langle x, y \mid xy^m x^{-1} = y^n \rangle$$

where m and n are non zero integer numbers.

Among many properties of these groups, we have those of being residually finite and hopfian under some restrictions. That is summarized in the following theorem. The proof of the statements can be found in [12] and [1] respectively.

Theorem 1. (a) *The group $BS(m, n)$ is residually finite if and only if $|m| = |n|$ or $|m| = 1$ or $|n| = 1$.*

(b) *A Baumslag-Solitar group $BS(m, n)$ is Hopfian if and only if it is residually finite or $\pi(m) = \pi(n)$, where $\pi(m)$ stands for the set of prime divisors of m .*

In this paper we give necessary conditions on a group presentation of the form $\langle x, y \mid r \rangle$ to be a virtual knot group. These conditions do not depend on the Wirtinger presentation

form. Although these conditions are not enough, we can determine, by using them, when a Baumslag-Solitar group is the group of a 2 bridge virtual knot, moreover we prove that any of those groups can't be the group of a 2 bridge classical knot.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give a short introduction to virtual and combinatorial knots. In Section 3 we recall Kauffman's definition of virtual knot groups and some properties of them. We give some results about when group presentations correspond to virtual knot groups. In Section 4 we discuss a necessary condition on presentations of the form $\langle x, y \mid r \rangle$ to be the group of a virtual knot diagram. In Section 5, some necessary and sufficient conditions on Baumslag-Solitar groups to be the group of a virtual knot diagram are described. In Section 6 we present a short review about the presentation of the group of a two bridge classical knot. Even though, it is well known that the group of a classical knot has deficiency 1, we give a combinatorial proof of that fact for the case of two bridge knots and we relate that to conditions on Baumslag-Solitar groups to show that they are not two bridge classical knot groups. Also, we give a short introduction on Fox's derivations to classify the center of Baumslag-Solitar groups to conclude that none of them correspond to torus knot groups. A more general proof of the fact that no Baumslag-solitar groups can not be the group of a classical knot is obtained from [19].

2 Virtual Knots

A *virtual knot diagram* K on S^2 is an oriented 4-valent planar connected graph, whose crossings are classified according to Figure 1-a. An example of a virtual knot diagram is shown in Figure 1-b.

Note that the positive and negative crossings have the visual effect of passing over and under the diagram. That doesn't happen with virtual crossings.

Positive and negative crossings are called *classical crossings*.

Definition 1. *Two virtual knot diagrams K and K' are said to be virtually equivalent if one of them can be changed into the other by using a finite number of extended Reidemeister*




Figure 1: (a) Crossing types. (b) Examples of virtual knots diagrams.

moves described in Figure 2. A virtual knot is an equivalence class of virtual knot diagrams.




Figure 2: Extended Reidemeister Moves

A virtual knot diagram K is called *classical knot diagram* if K does not have virtual crossings. A virtual knot is called *classical knot* if its equivalence class has classical knot diagrams.

In [8] Goussarov, Polyak and Viro showed that the category of classical knots is contained in the virtual knots category. Kauffman (see[9]) gave examples of virtual knots that are not equivalent to classical knots. In this sense virtual knot theory becomes a non trivial extension of classical knot theory.

The concept of combinatorial knots, was introduced by M. Toro in [21], as equivalence classes of lists of the form $((i_1, \dots, i_{2n}), (e_1, \dots, e_m))$, where $\{i_1, \dots, i_{2n}\} = \{\pm a_1, \dots, \pm a_n\}$, $a_j \in$

$\mathbb{Z}^+$, $e_j \in \{1, -1\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $m = \max \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. Those lists are called *knot codes*. The *trivial knot code* is the empty knot code $((), ())$.

The process of how to assign a knot code to a virtual knot diagram is illustrated with the following example. Let K be the virtual knot diagram shown in Figure 3. We label its classical crossings with positive numbers and we choose x over K , not a crossing point.




Figure 3: Labeled virtual knot diagram

We start from x at K and write down the list of crossing labels we meet, with the convention that if we pass through an under crossing a_i , we add $-a_i$ to the list, but if we pass through an over crossing a_i , we add a_i . The resulting finite sequence is called a *crossing list* of K . For our example, the crossing list is $(-1, 2, -3, 1, -2, 3)$. We construct also the list (e_1, e_2, e_3) , where, $e_i = 1$ if $i = a_j$ and a_j is a positive crossing or $e_i = -1$ if $i = a_j$ and a_j is a negative crossing, according to the classification given in Figure 1(a). This list is called a *list of signs* of K , for our example the list of signs is $(-1, 1, 1)$. Hence, the pair $((-1, 2, -3, 1, -2, 3), (-1, 1, 1))$ is a knot code of K .

In the previous example we used $\{1, 2, 3\}$ as the labeling set of the classical crossings of K . We can use any list of integers for labeling the classical crossings of the diagram, in that case the crossing list is generated in the same way, but we have to take care about the list of signs, because its length will be the maximum of the numbers used.

If we use the numbers $1, 2, \dots, n$ for labeling the classical crossing of virtual knot diagrams, then we say that the knot code is in *standard form*.

For a virtual knot diagram K , we will use the same letter K to denote a knot code constructed by using the method above. The notation $[K]$ will represent the class of K and it is called the combinatorial knot associated to K .

The concept of a combinatorial knot is related to that of a virtual knot. The specific relation is given in the following theorem, whose proof can be found in [16].

Theorem 2. *There exists a biunivocal correspondence between combinatorial knots and virtual knots.*

It is also possible to relate a knot code with classical knots.

Definition 2. *A knot code K is said to be **geometric** if there exists a classical knot diagram K such that K is a knot code for it. A combinatorial knot $[K]$ is **classical** if it has a geometric knot code.*

Due to the biunivocal correspondence between combinatorial and virtual knots, from now on, we will not make difference between them as we will use knot code and virtual knot diagram indistinctively.

3 The virtual knot group

In this section we explain how to construct the group of a virtual knot and we describe some of its properties, the definitions and statements that are not proven here, can be found in [17].

In the literature, there exists a more general definition for this group. Here we present one, based on what we will soon call the standard normal form of a diagram.

Let K be a virtual knot diagram representative with n classical crossings. We can choose a point over the knot such that the first crossing in the path starting at it is an under crossing, and label that crossing 1. Continue the way along the diagram until you cross it under another crossing, name it 2. Following this way, all the n crossings will be labeled.

It is easy to see that the associated knot code to the diagram K , can be written as $((-1, A_1, -2, A_2, \dots, -n, A_n), (e_1, \dots, e_n))$, where the sublists $A_1, \dots, A_n$ form a partition of the labeling set. Such knot code is called *standard normal form*.

We define the arcs of K as the sublists $S_i = (-i, A_i, -(i+1))$ and $S_n = (-n, A_n, -1)$. Since the definition of the group of a virtual knot does not depend on representative elements, we use the standard normal form to introduce the knot group of a virtual knot.

Definition 3. Let $K = ((-1, A_1, -2, A_2, \dots, -n, A_n), (e_1, \dots, e_n))$ be a non trivial knot code and let $S_1, \dots, S_n$ be its arcs. We define the group of K as

$$\pi(K) = \langle S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n \mid r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where, for each $j = 1, \dots, n-1$

$$r_j = S_{t_j}^{-e_j} S_j S_{t_j}^{e_j} S_{j+1}^{-1}, \quad r_n = S_{t_n}^{-e_1} S_n S_{t_n}^{e_1} S_1^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

and t_j is the unique index such that j is in the list A_{t_j} .

We define the group of the trivial knot code as $\mathbb{Z}$.

Note that the definition given above proves that the group of a virtual knot has a realizable Wirtinger presentation, it is clear that the reciprocal is also true.

Example 1. Consider the knot K given in Figure 4.




Figure 4: Example arcs

We see that a standard normal form for K is

$$((-1, 4, 3, -2, 1, -3, -4, 2), (-1, -1, -1, -1)).$$

Its arcs are

$$S_1 = (-1, 4, 3, -2), S_2 = (-2, 1, -3), S_3 = (-3, -4), S_4 = (-4, 2, -1)$$

According to the definition just given, a presentation for the group of K is

$$\pi(K) = \langle S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4 \mid r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \rangle$$

where

$$r_1 = S_2^{-1} S_1 S_2 S_2^{-1} = S_2^{-1} S_1, \quad r_2 = S_4^{-1} S_2 S_4 S_3^{-1}, \quad r_3 = S_1^{-1} S_3 S_1 S_4^{-1} \text{ and } r_4 = S_1^{-1} S_4 S_1 S_1^{-1} = S_1^{-1} S_4.$$

A first property about this kind of groups is given in the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let K be a virtual knot. If $\pi = \pi(K)$ then, $\pi_{ab} = \langle S_j \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

Let $K = ((i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2n}), (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n))$ be a non trivial knot code and let $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ be the arcs of K . We denote by $|S_i|$ the *length* of S_i .

Definition 4. *We say that S_i is a **bridge** of K if $|S_i| > 2$. For a knot code K the number of bridges is called the **bridge number**, and it is denoted by $br(K)$. For a combinatorial knot $[K]$ its bridge number is defined by $br([K]) = \min\{br(\tilde{K}) : [\tilde{K}] = [K]\}$.*

Let $K = ((i_1, \dots, i_{2n}), (e_1, \dots, e_n))$ be a knot code. Without loss of generality we may assume that the crossing list of K is given by

$$(A_1, -1, \dots, -a_1, A_2, -(a_1 + 1), \dots, -a_2, A_3, \dots, -a_{n-1}, A_n, -(a_{n-1} + 1), \dots, -a_n), \quad (3)$$

where $a_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, a_n$, $a_0 = 1$ and there is no crossing between $-(a_{t-1} + i)$ and $-(a_{t-1} + i + 1)$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p = a_t - a_{t-1}$.

Therefore, $y_1 = (-a_n, A_1, -1), \dots, y_n = (-a_{n-1}, A_n, -(a_{n-1} + 1))$ will be the bridges of K .

For each $t = 1, \dots, n$, we construct the word

$$r_t = w_t^{-1} y_t w_t y_{t+1}^{-1}, \quad w_t = y_{b_1}^{e_{a_{t-1}+1}} y_{b_2}^{e_{a_{t-1}+2}} \dots y_{b_p}^{e_{a_t}},$$

where $y_{b_1}, y_{b_2}, \dots, y_{b_p}$ are bridges of K such that $(a_{t-1} + i)$ is in the list A_{b_i} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, p = a_t - a_{t-1}$.

The following theorem provides another presentation for $\pi(K)$ that is called *the over presentation*. For the proof of this result, see [17].

Theorem 3. Let K be a non trivial knot code of n bridges, and let $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$ be the bridges of K , then

$$\pi(K) \cong \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \mid r_1, \dots, r_n \rangle.$$

Definition 5. Let $K = ((i_1, \dots, i_{2n}), (e_1, \dots, e_n))$ be a non trivial knot code, and

$$\pi(K) \cong \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \mid w_1^{-1}y_1w_1y_2^{-1}, \dots, w_{n-1}^{-1}y_{n-1}w_{n-1}y_n^{-1}, w_n^{-1}y_nw_ny_1^{-1} \rangle.$$

We define a **longitude** of K as the word $l = w_1w_2 \cdots w_nm^{-p}$, where the number $p = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j$ and $m \in \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. It is denoted by $l = l(K)$.

We say that $m = m(K)$ is a meridian of K . The ordered pair (l, m) is called a peripheral pair of K .

It is not hard to verify that l belongs to $[\pi(K), \pi(K)]$.

Definition 6. We say that two peripheral pairs (l, m) and (l', m') are **conjugate** if and only if there exists $w \in \pi(K)$ such that $l' = w^{-1}lw$, and $m' = w^{-1}mw$.

Let (l, m) be a peripheral pair of K , its conjugacy class $[(l, m)]$ will be called *peripheral structure* of K .

Lemma 2. Let K be a knot code, then the peripheral structure of K is unique up to conjugation.

Let K be a geometric knot code, if $[(l, m)] = [(e, m)]$, then $[K] = [(), ()]$, see [22].

Now we present the reciprocal of Theorem 3. There is a proof of it in [10], however, we present a combinatorial one.

Theorem 4. Let

$$G = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $r_i = w_i^{-1}x_iw_ix_{i+1}^{-1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $w_1, \dots, w_n$ are words in the free group $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, not necessarily different in G . Then there exists a knot code σ , of n bridges, such that $\pi(\sigma) \cong G$.

Proof. Let G be a group with presentation given by (4). We will suppose, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, that

$$w_i = (x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_n^{i_n})(x_1^{i_{n+1}} x_2^{i_{n+2}} \cdots x_n^{i_{2n}}) \cdots (x_1^{i_{kn+1}} x_2^{i_{kn+2}} \cdots x_n^{i_{(k+1)n}}),$$

where $i_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, (k+1)n$ and $k = k(i)$.

Let $a_i := l(w_i)$, the length of w_i , $c_0 = 0$ and $c_t = \sum_{i=1}^t l(w_i) = \sum_{j=1}^t a_j$.

Now, for each $s \in \{1, \dots, (k+1)n\}$ we take $f_{w_i}(s) = \sum_{j=1}^s |i_j|$.

By considering the following equation

$$e_{c_{i-1}+f_{w_i}((j-1)n+t-1)+l} = \text{sign}(i_{(j-1)n+t}) \cdot 1,$$

$j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k+1\}$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, f_i((j-1)n+t) - f_i((j-1)n+t-1)$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we define the knot code K

$$= ((A_1, -1, \dots, -c_1, A_2, -(c_1+1), \dots, -c_2, \dots, -c_{n-1}, A_n, -(c_{n-1}+1), \dots, -c_n), \\ (e_1, \dots, e_{c_n})).$$

Where the elements in the list A_t are:

$$\{A_t\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{j=1}^{k+1} \bigcup_{l=1}^{|i_t|} \{c_{i-1} + f_{w_i}((j-1)n+t-1) + l\}, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Thus, if we take $S_t = (-c_t, A_t, -(c_t+1))$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$, to be the bridges of K , then

$$\pi(K) \cong \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid r_1, \dots, r_n \rangle,$$

which by Definition 3 satisfies $\pi(K) \cong G$. □

Corollary 1. *Let G be a group with presentation*

$$G = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1} \rangle, \quad (5)$$

where for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, $r_i = w_i^{-1} x_i w_i x_{i+1}^{-1}$ and $w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}$ are words in the free group $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, not necessarily different in G . Then there exists a knot code K , of n bridges, such that $\pi(K) \cong G$.

Proof. Let $w_n = (w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1})^{-1}$, then

$$G = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1}, w_n^{-1} x_n w_n x_1^{-1} \rangle,$$

and hence, there exists a n bridges knot code K such that $\pi(K) \cong G$. $\square$

Note that the knot code K constructed by the method described in the previous corollary has trivial longitude, so the combinatorial knot $[K]$ is not classical if and only if G is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 5. *Let G be a group with presentation*

$$G = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \mid r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \rangle, \quad (6)$$

where $r_k = w_k^{-1} x_j w_k x_i^{-1}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ and $w_1, \dots, w_n$ are words in the free group $F(x_1, \dots, x_m)$, not necessarily different in G . If the deficiency of G is 0 or 1, and $G_{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z}$, then G is the group of a combinatorial knot.

Proof. Suppose that G is a group with presentation given by (6) and that $n = m - 1$. Since $G_{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z}$, then G has only two conjugacy classes. Let us denote them by $\{e, H\}$. Therefore, for every $x \in \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$, in particular $x = x_m$, $H = [x]$. As a consequence, there are words $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}$ in $F(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ such that G has the following presentation

$$G = \langle x_1, \dots, x_m \mid \omega_1^{-1} x \omega_1 x_1^{-1} = 1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}^{-1} x \omega_{n-1} x_{n-1}^{-1} = 1 \rangle.$$

Let us consider the following Tietze transformations on G :

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \omega_1^{-1} x \omega_1, \\ x_2 &= \omega_2^{-1} (\omega_1 x_1 \omega_1^{-1}) \omega_2, \\ x_3 &= \omega_3^{-1} (\omega_2 x_2 \omega_2^{-1}) \omega_3, \\ &\vdots \\ x_{m-1} &= \omega_{m-1}^{-1} (\omega_{m-2} x_{m-2} \omega_{m-2}^{-1}) \omega_{m-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Then, G is a combinatorial knot group. $\square$

4 Groups with two generators and one relator

Let F be the free group on $X = \{x, y\}$. For a word $r \in F$ we define the *length of r with respect to y* , denoted by $l_y(r)$, as the sum of all the exponents of the letter y in the word r ; in a similar way we define $l_x(r)$.

Theorem 6. *Let $G = \langle x, y \mid r \rangle$ be a group presentation where the relator is $r = x^{n_1}y^{m_1}x^{n_2}y^{m_2} \dots x^{n_k}y^{m_k}$. If $l_y(r) = \pm 1$, then G is the group of a virtual knot.*

Proof. Suppose that $r = x^{n_1}y^{m_1}x^{n_2}y^{m_2} \dots x^{n_k}y^{m_k}$, where $m_j, n_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Let $w_t = y^{m_t}y^{m_{t+1}} \dots y^{m_k}$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then, from the relation $r(x, y) = 1$ and the fact $y^{m_{t-1}} = w_{t-1}w_t^{-1}$, we have that

$$(w_1^{-1}x^{n_1}w_1)(w_2^{-1}x^{n_2}w_2) \dots (w_k^{-1}x^{n_k}w_k) = w_1^{-1} = y^{-l_y(r)}.$$

Let us consider the following Tietze transformation on G : $y_i = w_i^{-1}xw_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then $y_1^{n_1}y_2^{n_2} \dots y_k^{n_k} = y^{-l_y(r)}$, and so

$$G = \langle y_1, \dots, y_k, y, x \mid r_1, \dots, r_k, y_1^{n_1}y_2^{n_2} \dots y_k^{n_k} = y^{-l_y(r)} \rangle.$$

Where $r_1 = w_1^{-1}xw_1y_1^{-1}$, $\dots$, $r_k = w_k^{-1}xw_ky_k^{-1}$, with $w_t = y^{m_t+m_{t+1}+\dots+m_k}$ and $l_y(r) = \pm 1$. Note that G has a Wirtinger presentation of deficiency 1.

Due to the fact that $w_t^{-1}w_{t+1} = y^{-m_t}$, $t = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$, and the formulas given in (7), we obtain that $r_1 = y^{-l_y(r)}xy^{l_y(r)}y_1^{-1}$, $r_2 = y^{-m_2}y_1y^{m_2}y_2^{-1}$, $\dots$, $r_k = y^{-m_k}y_{k-1}y^{m_k}y_k^{-1}$. $\square$

The condition exposed in the previous theorem is not enough. For example, if m and l represent the meridian and longitude of the torus surface T , and p and q are co-prime numbers, then $K = pm + ql$ is a classical knot. K is called *toroidal knot* of type (p, q) and it is denoted by $T(p, q)$. It is proven that the group of the knot K has the presentation $G_{p,q} = \langle x, y \mid x^p = y^q \rangle$. See [11].

Although, it is known that $\langle x, y \mid x^p = y^q \rangle_{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z}$, we present an algebraic proof of that fact.

Proposition 1. *If $G = \langle x, y \mid x^p = y^q \rangle$, where $(p, q) = 1$, then $G_{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Suppose that p and q are co-prime numbers. Without loss of generality we may assume that $0 < p < q$. Then there exist integer numbers r_1 and s_1 , such that $1 \leq s_1 < p$ and $q = r_1 p + s_1$. So

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ab} &= \langle x, y \mid y^{r_1 p + s_1} = x^p, [x, y] = 1 \rangle \\ &= \langle x, y \mid y^{s_1} = x^p y^{-r_1 p}, [x, y] = 1 \rangle \\ &= \langle x, y \mid y^{s_1} = (x y^{-r_1})^p, [x, y] = 1 \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

By making $z = x y^{-r_1}$ we get $G_{ab} = \langle y, z \mid y^{s_1} = z^p, [y, z] = 1 \rangle$. So, if $s_1 = 1$, then $G_{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $1 < s_1$, and we have constructed a sequence of positive integers $1 \leq s_k < s_{k-1} < \dots < s_1 < p$, such that $G_{ab} = \langle x, y \mid x^{s_i} = y^{s_{i-1}}, [x, y] = 1 \rangle$, for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. If $s_k = 1$, then $G_{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $1 < s_k < s_{k-1}$, then there are integer numbers r_{k+1} and s_{k+1} such that $1 \leq s_{k+1} < s_k$ and $s_{k-1} = r_{k+1} s_k + s_{k+1}$, therefore

$$G_{ab} = \langle x, y \mid y^{r_{k+1} s_k + s_{k+1}} = x^{s_k}, [x, y] = 1 \rangle = \langle x, y \mid y^{s_{k+1}} = x^{s_k} y^{-r_{k+1} s_k}, [x, y] = 1 \rangle.$$

So, if $w = x y^{-r_{k+1}}$, then $G_{ab} = \langle w, y \mid y^{s_{k+1}} = w^{s_k}, [x, y] = 1 \rangle$.

By recurrence, and the fact that $(p, q) = 1$, we can construct a finite sequence $\{s_k\}_{k=1}^t$, that satisfies the condition above and $s_t = 1$. Hence, $G_{ab} = \langle r, u \mid r = u^{s_{t-1}} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

Corollary 2. *Let $G = \langle x, y \mid r \rangle$ be a group presentation. If $l_y(r)$ and $l_x(r)$ are relatively prime, then $G_{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. It follows from the fact that

$$G_{ab} \cong \langle x, y \mid x^{l_x(r)} = y^{-l_y(r)}, [x, y] = 1 \rangle = \langle x, z \mid x^{l_x(r)} = z^{l_y(x)} \rangle_{ab}.$$

$\square$

5 Baumslag-Solitar groups as virtual knot groups

For two non-zero integers m and n , the *Baumslag-Solitar group*, denoted by $B(m, n)$, is the group given by the presentation

$$B(m, n) = \langle x, y \mid x^{-1}y^mx = y^n \rangle.$$

Theorem 7. *The group $B(m, n)$ is the group of a virtual knot if and only if $n = m + 1$.*

Proof. If $B(m, n)$ is the group of a combinatorial knot then $B(m, n)_{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z}$, but $B(m, n)_{ab} = \langle x, y \mid x^{-1}y^mx = y^n, [x, y] = 1 \rangle$, so we have that $B(m, n)_{ab} = \langle x, y \mid y^m = y^n \rangle$. Thus, $n = m + 1$.

Conversely,

$$B(m, m + 1) = \langle x, y \mid x^{-1}y^mx = y^{m+1} \rangle = \langle x, y \mid y^{-m}x^{-1}y^mx = y \rangle.$$

If we change the generating set of $B(m, m + 1)$ as follows: $y_1 = x$ and $y_2 = y^{-m}xy^m$, then

$$B(m, m + 1) = \langle y_1, y_2 \mid y_2 = (y_2^{-1}y_1)^{-m}y_1(y_2^{-1}y_1)^m \rangle.$$

Therefore, $B(m, m + 1)$ is the group of a 2-bridge combinatorial knot. $\square$

An important result that relates Baumslag-Solitar groups and fundamental groups of connected and orientable three manifolds is resumed in the following theorem. Its proof is due to P. B. Shalen, see [19].

Theorem 8. *If there are elements x and y in the fundamental group of a connected and orientable 3-manifold and nonzero integers m and n such that $xy^n x^{-1} = y^m$. Then either y has finite order, or $|n| = |m|$.*

Assume now that K is a classical knot, such that the fundamental group of its complement is a Baumslag-Solitar group $B(m, n)$. Then, in view of Shalen's theorem, $|n| = |m|$. Also, each classical knot group has infinite cyclic abelianization. Now, if we have a Baumslag-Solitar group $B(m, n)$ with $|n| = |m|$, then the abelianization of $B(m, n)$ cannot be infinite cyclic, unless $n = 1$, $m = -1$ or vice-versa. The latter is the fundamental group of the Klein bottle which cannot be the fundamental group of a (classical) knot complement. Indeed, if M is a compact irreducible 3-manifold whose fundamental group is isomorphic to the Klein bottle group, then M is homeomorphic to an interval bundle over the Klein bottle.

Hence, no knot complement group can be isomorphic to a Baumslag-Solitar group.

6 Two bridges classical knots

Although we have proved that no Baumslag-Solitar group is the fundamental group of a classical knot complement, in this section we want to present a combinatorial proof of the particular case that no Baumslag-Solitar groups correspond to fundamental groups of a 2-bridge classical knots. In order to get that, we show that the deficiency of these type of groups is 1, and from a result given by R. Riley [15], many of them are residually finite.

It is well known that classical knot groups has deficiency 1, but we want to present a combinatorial proof of that they are knot groups, see [15], also we use Fox's derivation to show that no Baumslag-Solitar groups are not torus knot groups.

In [18] Schubert describes and classifies the family of two bridge classical knots diagrams in terms of a normal form $S(\alpha, \beta)$, where α and β are two odd and co-prime integers such that $0 < \beta < \alpha$.

For $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha - 1\}$, let t_k be the integer in $(0, \alpha) \cup (\alpha, 2\alpha)$ such that $k\beta \equiv t_k \pmod{2\alpha}$.

From Schubert's normal form and Theorem 3 we get the following theorem.

Theorem 9. *The group of the 2-bridge knot diagram $S(\alpha, \beta)$ has a presentation given by $G(2, y^{e_1}x^{e_2} \dots y^{e_{\alpha-2}}x^{e_{\alpha-1}}, x^{e_1}y^{e_2} \dots x^{e_{\alpha-2}}y^{e_{\alpha-1}})$. Where $e_k = -1$ if $t_k \in (\alpha, 2\alpha)$ and $e_k = 1$ if $t_k \in (0, \alpha)$, for every $k = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha - 1$.*

The following lemma provides us another useful way to compute the numbers e_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{\alpha-1}{2}$.

Lemma 3. *For every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha - 1\}$, let $\widehat{e}_j = \text{sign}(c_j)$ where $-\alpha < c_j < \alpha$ and $j\beta \equiv c_j \pmod{2\alpha}$. Then $e_j = \widehat{e}_j$.*

Proof. Let $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha - 1\}$ and consider the following cases:

Case 1: $1 \leq t_j < \alpha$, then $c_j = t_j$, so $\widehat{e}_j = 1 = e_j$.

Case 2: $\alpha < t_j < 2\alpha$, then $c_j = t_j - 2\alpha$, and so $-\alpha < c_j < 0 < \alpha$ thus $\widehat{e}_j = -1 = e_j$. $\square$

An important property that the exponents e_j satisfy is

Corollary 3. For every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{\alpha-1}{2}\}$, $e_{\alpha-j} = e_j$.

Proof. Let $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{\alpha-1}{2}\}$, then $(\alpha - j)\beta \equiv (\alpha - j\beta) \pmod{2\alpha}$, therefore

$$t_{\alpha-j} = \begin{cases} \alpha - t_j & \text{if } t_{\alpha-j} \in (0, \alpha) \\ \alpha - c_j & \text{if } t_{\alpha-j} \in (\alpha, 2\alpha) \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Now, $e_{\alpha-j} = 1$ if and only if $1 \leq t_{\alpha-j} < \alpha$ if and only if $1 \leq \alpha - t_j < \alpha$ if and only if $\alpha - 1 \geq t_j > 0$ if and only if $1 \leq t_j < \alpha$ if and only if $e_j = 1$.

$e_{\alpha-j} = -1$ if and only if $\alpha < t_{\alpha-j} < 2\alpha$ if and only if $\alpha < \alpha - c_j < 2\alpha$ if and only if $0 > c_j > \alpha$ if and only if $e_j = -1$. $\square$

Let $s = s(\alpha, \beta)$ the only even number such that $2 \leq s \leq \alpha - 1$ and $s\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{\alpha}$ or $s\beta \equiv -1 \pmod{\alpha}$.

Lemma 4. Let s be as above. Then

- (1) $e_s = 1$, if $s\beta \equiv -1 \pmod{\alpha}$ and $e_s = -1$ if $s\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{\alpha}$.
- (2) $e_{s+k} = -e_k$, for every $k = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha - s - 1$ and
- (3) $e_{s-k} = e_k$, for every $k = 1, 2, \dots, s - 1$.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $s\beta \equiv -1 \pmod{\alpha}$, then there exists $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $s\beta = -1 + t\alpha$. Because $s\beta$ is an even number and α an odd number, t must to an odd number, therefore $t = 2m + 1$. So, we have that $s\beta = -1 + 2m\alpha + \alpha = (\alpha - 1) + m(2\alpha)$, and $s\beta \equiv (\alpha - 1) \pmod{2\alpha}$. Now, $1 < \alpha - 1 < \alpha$, then $e_s = 1$.

On the other hand, let us suppose $s\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{\alpha}$. By definition of s , there is $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $s\beta = 1 + t\alpha$. Because $s\beta$ is an even number and α is odd, t must be odd, therefore $t = 2m + 1$. Then $s\beta = 1 + 2m\alpha + \alpha = (\alpha + 1) + m(2\alpha)$, as consequence $s\beta \equiv (\alpha + 1) \pmod{2\alpha}$. Due to the fact that, $\alpha < \alpha + 1 < 2\alpha$, $e_s = -1$.

(2) We prove the result in the case $s\beta \equiv -1 \pmod{\alpha}$. Let $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha - s - 1\}$ and suppose that $e_k = 1$, therefore $0 < t_k < \alpha$. Besides, $(s + k)\beta \equiv ((\alpha - 1) + t_k) \pmod{2\alpha}$, and because $0 < t_k < \alpha$, we have $\alpha - 1 < \alpha - 1 + t_k < 2\alpha - 1$, so $t_{s+k} = (\alpha - 1) + t_k$.

Now, $(s+k) \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha-1\}$ thus $(s+k)\beta$ is not congruent to α modulo 2α , from where $\alpha < t_{s+k} < 2\alpha - 1$ and so, $e_{s+k} = -1 = -e_k$.

Suppose that $e_k = -1$, then $-\alpha < c_k < 0$. Thus, $(s+k)\beta \equiv ((\alpha-1) + c_k) \pmod{2\alpha}$, and because $-\alpha < c_k < 0$, we obtain that $-1 < \alpha - 1 + c_k < \alpha - 1$. Hence, $c_{s+k} = (\alpha - 1) + c_k$. Due to the fact that $c_{s+k} \neq 0$, we can conclude that $0 < c_{s+k} < \alpha - 1$ and so $e_{s+k} = 1 = -e_k$.

The proof of (3) when $s\beta \equiv -1 \pmod{\alpha}$ and the proof of (2) and (3) in the case $s\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{\alpha}$ goes very similarly. $\square$

The proof of the next theorem is motivated by ideas presented in [4].

Theorem 10. *For every α and β , as previously,*

$$\pi(S(\alpha, \beta)) = G(2, y^{e_1}x^{e_2} \dots y^{e_2}x^{e_1}).$$

Proof. Let us denote $w_1 = y^{e_1}x^{e_2} \dots y^{e_{\alpha-2}}x^{e_{\alpha-1}}$ and $w_2 = x^{e_1}y^{e_2} \dots x^{e_{\alpha-2}}y^{e_{\alpha-1}}$ and the relators $r_1 : xw_1 = w_1y$ and $r_2 : yw_2 = w_2x$.

Let's consider the case $s\beta \equiv -1 \pmod{\alpha}$. Then, the relator r_1 becomes $xy^{e_1}x^{e_2} \dots y^{e_{s-1}}x^{e_s}y^{e_{s+1}} \dots y^{e_{\alpha-2}}x^{e_{\alpha-1}} = y^{e_{\alpha-1}}x^{e_{\alpha-2}} \dots y^{e_s}x^{e_{s-1}} \dots y^{e_2}x^{e_1}y$.

Now denote $g_s = xy^{e_1}x^{e_2} \dots y^{e_{s-1}}$ and $h_s = x^{e_{s-1}}y^{e_{s-2}} \dots y^{e_2}x^{e_1}y$ and define the relator $r_3 := g_s^{-1}r_1h_s^{-1}$. Then, if we make

$$\begin{aligned} A_s &= y^{e_{s+1}} \dots y^{e_{\alpha-2}}x^{e_{\alpha-1}}y^{-1}x^{-e_1}y^{-e_2} \dots x^{-e_{s-1}}, \\ B_s &= y^{-e_{s-1}} \dots x^{-e_2}y^{-e_1}x^{-1}y^{e_{\alpha-1}}x^{e_{\alpha-2}} \dots x^{e_{s+1}} \end{aligned}$$

the relator r_3 can be written as $x^{e_s}A_s = B_sy^{e_s}$, but $e_s = 1$, so that the relator r_3 becomes $xA_s = B_sy$.

From Lemma 8, A_s can be written in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} A_s &= y^{-e_1} \dots y^{-e_{\alpha-s-2}}x^{-e_{\alpha-s-1}}y^{-e_{\alpha-s}}x^{-e_{s-1}}y^{-e_{s-2}} \dots x^{-e_1} \\ &= y^{-e_1} \dots y^{-e_{\alpha-s-2}}x^{-e_{\alpha-s-1}}y^{-e_{\alpha-s}}x^{-e_{\alpha-s+1}}y^{-e_{\alpha-s+2}} \dots x^{-e_{\alpha-1}} \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we prove that

$$B_s = y^{-e_1} \dots x^{-e_{s-2}}y^{-e_{s-1}}x^{-e_s}y^{-e_{s+1}}x^{-e_{s+2}} \dots x^{-e_{\alpha-1}}.$$

Thus, $A_s = B_s = w_2^{-1}$, and hence r_3 is equal to $xw_2^{-1} = w_2^{-1}y$. Therefore, $w_2x = yw_2$ is a consequence of r_3 .

For the case $s\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{\alpha}$ we consider the relator $r_3 := h_s^{-1}r_1q_s^{-1}$, but in this case we write r_1 as:

$$xy^{e_{\alpha-1}}x^{e_{\alpha-2}} \dots x^{e_{s+1}}y^{e_s}x^{e_{s-1}} \dots y^{e_2}x^{e_1} = y^{e_1}x^{e_2} \dots y^{e_{s-1}}x^{e_s} \dots y^{e_{\alpha-2}}x^{e_{\alpha-1}}y.$$

Now we take $g_s = y^{e_s}x^{e_{s-1}} \dots y^{e_2}x^{e_1}$ and $h_s = y^{e_1}x^{e_2} \dots y^{e_{s-1}}x^{e_s}$, then r_3 becomes $x^{-e_s}B_s = A_sy^{-e_s}$. But $e_s = -1$, then r_3 is the relator $xB_s = A_sy$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A_s &= y^{e_{s+1}} \dots y^{e_{\alpha-2}}x^{e_{\alpha-1}}yx^{-e_1}y^{-e_2} \dots x^{-e_{s-1}}, \\ B_s &= y^{-e_{s-1}} \dots x^{-e_2}y^{-e_1}xy^{e_{\alpha-1}}x^{e_{\alpha-2}} \dots x^{e_{s+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By a similar process as in the previous case, we prove that $B_s = A_s = w_2^{-1}$, then $xw_2^{-1} = w_2^{-1}y$ and so $w_2x = yw_2$ is a consequence of r_3 . $\square$

Theorem 11. *A 2-bridge classical knot $S(\alpha, \beta)$ is a torus knot of the type $(2, \alpha)$ if and only if $\beta = \pm 1$. Therefore, the center of a 2-bridge knot group $S(\alpha, \beta)$ is trivial if and only if $\beta \neq \pm 1$.*

Proof. Since $\pi(S(\alpha, 1)) = \pi(S(\alpha, -1))$, then we only consider the case $\beta = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(S(\alpha, 1)) &= \langle x, y \mid xyxyx \dots yx = yxyx \dots yxy \rangle \\ &\cong \langle x, y, z \mid xyxyx \dots yx = yxyx \dots yxy, z = yx \rangle \\ &\cong \left\langle x, y, z \mid xz^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} = z^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}y, y^{-1}z = x \right\rangle \\ &\cong \left\langle y, z \mid y^{-1}z^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} = z^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}y \right\rangle \\ &\cong \left\langle y, z, h \mid y^{-1}z^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} = z^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}y, h = yz^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \right\rangle \\ &\cong \left\langle z, h \mid z^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} = h^2z^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \right\rangle \\ &\cong \langle z, h \mid z^\alpha = h^2 \rangle = \pi(T(2, \alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is obtained by using the fact that prime knots are completely characterized by their fundamental groups up to inverses and mirror images. $\square$

In order to get our main result we introduce some representation theory ideas.

Consider the following two matrices

$$B_t = \begin{bmatrix} t & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } A_{t,u} = \begin{bmatrix} t & 0 \\ -tu & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $\Gamma_{t,u}$ be the subgroup of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ generated by them. The subgroup $\Gamma_{t,u}$ has been studied in [15], and, for the particular case $t = 1$, in [14]. Let F be the free group on the set x, y , and let $H_{t,u} : F \rightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ be the unique homomorphism such that $H_{t,u}(x) = A_{t,u}$ and $H_{t,u}(y) = B_t$. For $w \in F$, let $W = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} \\ w_{21} & w_{22} \end{bmatrix} = H_{t,u}(w)$.

Theorem 12. *Let $w = x^{e_1}y^{e_2} \dots x^{e_{\alpha-2}}y^{e_{\alpha-1}}$, where α is an odd number and $e_{\alpha-j} = e_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{\alpha-1}{2}$. Then $H_{t,u}$ defines a homomorphism from $G(2, w)$ into $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ if and only if*

$$w_{11} + (1 - t)w_{12} = 0.$$

Proof. See [15]. □

The *Nab-rep polynomial* is defined in [15] as the polynomial

$$\Phi(t, u) = t^{-m} [w_{11} + (1 - t)w_{12}] \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}, n].$$

Consider the notation $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$, therefore $\Phi(t, u) \in \Lambda[u]$ and we have the following result whose proof we omit but it can be found in [15].

Theorem 13. *Let $G(2, w)$ be the group of a 2-bridge classical knot, and let Φ its respective Nab-rep polynomial. Then there exists a monic factor, Φ_1 , of Φ in $\Lambda[u]$ such that the kernel of a generic representation for Φ_1 is the center of $G(2, w)$.*

A direct consequence of the previous theorem is that for every non toroidal 2 bridge classical knot K , there exist u, t in $\mathbb{C}$, such that $\pi(K)$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{t,u}$.

To get to an important result about the Baumslag-Solitar groups relating these ideas to knot groups, let's recall the definition of residually finite groups.

Definition 7. A group G is said to be residually finite if for every $g \in G$, $g \neq 1$ there exists a homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow G^*$, where G^* is a finite group, such that $\varphi(g) \neq 1$.

The following theorem provides us an infinite and important family of residually finite groups. Its proof can be found in [11].

Theorem 14. Let R be a field and let M be a finite set of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in R and with non-vanishing determinants. Then the matrices in M generate a residually finite group.

So we have that for every u, t in $\mathbb{C}$, $\Gamma_{t,u}$ is residually finite. Since the only classical knots with corresponding groups having non trivial center are the torus knots, we have the result.

Theorem 15. Every group of a 2-bridge knot $S(\alpha, \beta)$, with $\beta \neq \pm 1$, is residually finite.

As a consequence, no Baumslag-Solitar group $BS(n, n+1)$ is the group of a 2-bridge classical knot $S(\alpha, \beta)$, with $\beta \neq \pm 1$, if $n \neq \pm 1$.

Let F be the free group on the set $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, and let $\mathbb{Z}F$ denote the ring group associated to F . For every generator x_j of F let $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} : \mathbb{Z}F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}F$ be the homomorphism such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(x_i) = \delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} stands for the Kronecker delta function.

Let $\theta : \mathbb{Z}F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$ -homomorphism such that $\theta(g) = 1$ for each $g \in F$. Each $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ is a *derivation*, see [7], so they satisfy the following conditions:

1. $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(uv) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(u)\theta(v) + u\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(v)$ for every u, v in $\mathbb{Z}F$
2. $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(n) = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We have the following proposition. Its proof is a direct consequence of the definition of derivation, so we will omit it.

Proposition 2. Let $u_0 x_j^{p_1} u_1 x_j^{p_2} u_2 \cdots u_{q-1} x_j^{p_q} u_q$ be a word in the free group F , where $u_0, \dots, u_q$ are words in $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

1. $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(x_j^p) = \frac{x_j^p - 1}{x_j - 1}$, if $p \geq 1$.

$$2. \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (x_j^{-p}) = -x_j^{-p} \left(\frac{x_j^p - 1}{x_j - 1} \right), \text{ if } p \geq 1.$$

$$3. \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (u_0 x_j^{p_1} u_1 x_j^{p_2} u_2 \cdots u_{q-1} x_j^{p_q} u_q) = \sum_{i=1}^q u_0 x_j^{p_1} u_1 x_j^{p_2} u_2 \cdots u_{i-1} \left[\frac{x_j^{p_i} - 1}{x_j - 1} \right].$$

Let G be a group given by a presentation of the form $G = \langle x_1, x_2 \mid R \rangle$, and let H be a normal subgroup of G such that $G/H = \langle t \mid \quad \rangle$. If $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$ denotes the canonical homomorphism, then π extends to a $\mathbb{Z}$ -homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(G/H)$.

Let I_G be the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ generated by the Laurent polynomials $\pi \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial x_1} \right)$ and $\pi \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial x_2} \right)$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ is a principal ideal domain, then I_G is generated by a polynomial called the *Alexander polynomial of G respect to H* and it is denoted by $\Delta_H(t)$. We know that $\Delta_H(t)$ is the great common divisor of $\pi \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial x_1} \right)$ and $\pi \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial x_2} \right)$.

Theorem 16. *The polynomial $\Delta_H(t)$ is an invariant of G .*

The proof of the following theorem can be found in [13].

Theorem 17. *Let G be a group with a single defining relation. Suppose that the center of G is not trivial. Then, for every normal subgroup H such that $G/H = \langle t \mid \quad \rangle$ is cyclic infinite, we have the following.*

1. *The degree of $\Delta_H(t)$, say d , is at least 1.*
2. *If $d \geq 2$, then Δ_H must divide $1 - t^r$ for some positive integer r .*
3. *If $d = 1$ then $\Delta_H(t) = n(1 \pm t)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \neq 0$.*

Corollary 4. *For the group $G := BS(m, n)$*

1. *If $m = n$ then the center of G is the cyclic group $\langle y^n \rangle$.*
2. *If $m \neq \pm n$, the center of G is the trivial group.*

Proof. We know that $BS(m, n) = \langle x, y \mid xy^m x^{-1} = y^n \rangle$. Let $R := xy^m x^{-1} y^{-n}$ and $H := \bar{y} = \langle x^k y x^{-k} : k \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$. Then

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial x} = 1 + xy^m (-x^{-1}) = 1 - xy^m x^{-1} \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial R}{\partial y} &= x \frac{y^m - 1}{y - 1} + xy^m x^{-1} (-y^{-n} (1 + y + \cdots + y^{n-1})) \\ &= x (1 + y + \cdots + y^{n-1}) - xy^m x^{-1} y^{-n} (1 + y + \cdots + y^{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\pi : \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(G/H)$, we have that $\pi(x) = t$ and $\pi(y) = 1$. Hence, $\pi\left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial x}\right) = 0$ and $\pi\left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial y}\right) = mt - n$.

So, from Theorem 17, if $m \neq n$, then the center of $BS(m, n)$ is trivial.

Besides, if $m = \pm n$, then y^n is in the center of $BS(n, n)$. Therefore, from Theorem 2 of [13], because $y^n \neq 1$, we can conclude that $Z(BS(m, n)) = \langle y^n \rangle$.

□

Corollary 5. *The group $BS(m, m+1)$ is not isomorphic to a torus knot group.*

Acknowledgments

We want to thank professor Michael Kapovich for his suggestions of the proof that no knot complement group can be isomorphic to a Baumslag-Solitar group. Also we thank to the project 28792 with QUIPU code: 201010015036.

References

- [1] S. Andreadakis, E. Raptis, and D. Varsos, Residual finiteness and hopficity of certain HNN extensions. *Arch. Math.*, **Vol. 47** (1986) 1–5 .
- [2] G. Baumslag, D. Solitar, Some two generator one-relator non-Hopfian groups. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **Vol 689** (1962) 199–201.
- [3] G. Burde and H. Zieschang, Knots. *De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. (1985).

- [4] G.W. Brumfield and H. M. Hilden, $SL(2)$ Representations of Finitely Presented Groups. *Contemporary Math, AMS, Providence, United States*. **Vol. 187** (1995).
- [5] T. Ceccherini-Silvertstein and M. Coornaert, Cellular Automata and Groupd. *Monographs in Mathematics, Springer* (2010).
- [6] D.J. Collins, On Recognizing Hopf Groups. *Archiv der Mathematik* **15. VII. Volume 20, Issue 3**, (1969) 235–240 .
- [7] R.H. Fox, Free differential calculus, I, II . *Ann. Math.* **57** (1953) 547–560.
- [8] M. Goussarov, M. Polyak and O. Viro, Finite Type Invariants of Classical and Virtual Knots. Preprint: math. GT/1981/9810073.
- [9] L. Kauffman, Virtual Knot theory. *Europ. J. Combinatorics*, **Vol. 20** (1999), 663–691.
- [10] S. Kim, Virtual Knot Groups and their Peripheral Structure. *Journal of Knot Theory and its Ramifications*, **Vol. 9**, No. 6 (2000), 797-812.
- [11] A. Mal'cev, On isomorphic matrix representations of infinite groups. *Mat. Sb.* **8 ,50** (1940), 405-422. (Russian)
- [12] S. Meskin, Non-residually Finite One-Relator Groups. *Trans. Amer.Math. Soc.* **64** (1972) 105–114.
- [13] K. Murasugui, The center of a group with a single defining relation. *Math. Annales.* **155** (1964), 246–251.
- [14] C. Pommerenke and M. Toro, On the two-parabolic subgroups of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. *Rev. Colombiana Mat.* **45, no. 1** (2011) 37–50.
- [15] R. Riley, Non-abelian representations of 2-bridge knot groups. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* **(2) 35 , no. 138**, (1984) 191–208.
- [16] J. Rodríguez, Nudos Virtuales. Tesis Doctoral, Universidad Nacional de Colombia. 2011.

- [17] J. Rodríguez and M. Toro, Virtual Knot Groups and Combinatorial Knots. *Sao Paulo Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **3,1** (2009), 297–314.
- [18] H. Schubert, Knoten mit zwei Brcken. *Math. Z.* **65**, (1956) 133–170.
- [19] P. B. Shalen, Three-manifolds and BaumslagSolitar groups, *Topology and its Applications*. **110** (2001) 113–118.
- [20] D.S. Silver and S.G. Williams, Virtual knot groups. Knots in Hellas '98, Proc. Int. Conf. Knot Theory Ramifications, eds. C. McA. Gordon (World Scientific, Singapore, 2000) 440–451.
- [21] M. Toro, Nudos Combinatorios y Mariposas. *Rev. Acad. Colomb. Cienc.* **28**, **106** (2004), 79–86.
- [22] F. Waldhausen, On Irreducible 3-manifold which are sufficiently large. *Ann. of Math.* **87**, (1968) 56–88.